RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Application Serial No. 10/701,326 Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) FEB 0 2 2010

PATENT

Docket: CU-3430

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application:

Cheol Ho JOH et al.

Serial No:

10/701,326

Filed:

November 4, 2003

For:

CHIP-STACKED PACKAGE

Certification under 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The USPTO Central Fax No. (571) 273-8300 Date of Fax Transmittal: February 2, 2010

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark

Office to the fax number and on the date indicated above.

Kathryn Rodriguez

Mail Stop Petitions

The Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Reply to Dismissed Petition Letter dated August 3, 2009

The undersigned attorney assumed all along, when he should not, that that all papers including the letters that were mentioned in the petition of June 11, 2009 have been filed with the petition. The fact of the matter is that Kathryn Rodriguez made clerical error and did not fax to the USPTO those letters with the petition via fax on June 11, 2009. Then it was assumed, when it should not, that the dismissal of the petition was on grounds other than the failure to submit those copies of the letters. The complete set of the petition and the copies of the letters that should have been faxed earlier is submitted herewith. The grant of the petition is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 11, 2009

Attorney for Applicant

W William Park, Reg. No. 55,523

Ladas & Parry LLP

224 South Michigan Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 427-1300

Page 1 of 1

FEB 02-2010

Application Serial No. 10/701,326 Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) PATENT

Docket: CU-3430

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application:

Cheol Ho JOH et al.

Serial No:

10/701,326

Filed:

November 4, 2003

For:

CHIP-STACKED PACKAGE

Certification under 37 C,F.R. §1.8(a)

The USPTO Central Fax No. (571) 273-8300

Date of Fax Transmittal: June 11, 2009

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark

Office to the fax number and on the date indicated above

Kathryn Rodriduez

Mail Stop Petitions

The Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)

On November 19, 2008, the Applicant filed a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) for revival of the present application abandoned unintentionally.

On December 11, 2008, a decision dismissing the prior petition was mailed and later received by Applicant's attorney. The petition dismissal states that the petition of November 19, 2008 fails to adequately show that (1) the delay in reply that originally resulted in the abandonment and (2) the delay in filing an initial petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the application was unintentional.

Please charge any proper fee(s) associated with this paper and required by the USPTO to Deposit Account No. 12-0400.

Included herewith are copies of letters sent by the Patent Prosecution Manager of Yuil International Patent and Law Firm (hereinafter Yuil) who currently represent the Applicant in the Republic of Korea.

In the letter of October 16, 2008 (enclosed), Yuil has instructed to proceed with revival of the unintentionally abandoned application. Following this instruction, the

Application Serial No. 10/701,326 Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) PATENT Docket: CU-3430

Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 C.F.R. 1.37(b) has been filed with the USPTO on November 19, 2008. Then, in reply to the Decision on Petition mailed December 11, 2008, we received the letter of June 10, 2009 (enclosed) from Yuil providing the detailed explanation as to the circumstances that led Yuil to go unnoticed contrary to all intentions about the unintended and unintentional abandonment of the present application until about October of 2008. A copy of our safe receipt fax confirmation sent back to Yuil is also enclosed.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that the present application have been allowed to be abandoned due to totally unintentional circumstances. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 11, 2009

Attorney for Applicant

₩. William Park, Reg. No. 55,523

Ladas & Parry LLP

224 South Michigan Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 427-1300

JUN 11 2009 10:28 YUIL INTL PATENT OFFICE

025551743 Page

YUIL INTERNATIONAL PATENT & LAW FIRM

Sung-Bae KANG Seong-Gab HONG Dae-Seob SHIN Seong-Kyu HONG

SL, KANGNAM P.O. BOX 1711, SEOUL, 135-617, KOREA

13th Floor, Won Bidg., 648-26, Yeoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu Seoul, 135-080, KOREA

Telephone : 82 2 2051 1032 Facsimile : 82 2 555 1743 E-mail : yuilpatent@chollian.net

www.yullpat.co.kr June 10, 2009 URGENT

Mr. W. William Park LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 South Michigan Avenue Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60604 U. S. A.

CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF COPY

Re:

Hynix Semiconductor Inc. U.S. Serial No. 10/701,326 Applicants: Cheol Ho JOH et al. Your Ref: CU-3430 Our Ref; HD-1609/US

Dear Sirs:

Thank you for letting us know the USPTO's decision dismissing the petition filed November 19, 2008. With regard to the reply to the USPTO's requests for reasons, the detailed explanation is as follows:

An office action dated December 23, 2005 sent from your office Ladas & Parry LLP was mishandled by one employee in charge of the case at the tine such that we were not aware of this problem of the case being abandoned due to failure to respond to an office action until October of 2008, at which time we sent a letter to you.

The employee who is now terminated has mismanaged the present file by fallure to properly enter the present case into the docketing system, and this problem has gone unnoticed until late 2008.

Discoveries about the employee's mistakes on work matters were made, and, as the suspicion grew and grew, it has led to a thorough search of his files in the latter part of 2008, at which time the abandonment notice dated July 31, 2006 was discovered. We then asked you to petition the USPTO on October 16, 2008 to revive the present patent case as the current abandonment situation occurred totally unintended on our part. Please submit these reasons with the USPTO before the due date of June 11, 2009 so that the present application would be revived.

Sincerely yours,

1. 5. 5.

Dae-Seob SHIN

DSS/

06/11/2009 09:20 FAX 312 427 60^^

LADAS & PARRY LLP

20001

************** TX REPORT *8* ***********

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

RECIPIENT ADDRESS

DESTINATION ID

ST. TIME TIME USE

PAGES SENT RESULT

2761

0118225551743

YUIL

08/11 09:19

01'22 1

OK

JUN 11 2009 10:28 YUIL INTL PATENT OFFICE

025551743

Page

YUIL INTERNATIONAL PATENT & LAW FIRM

Sung-Bae KANG Seong-Gab HONG Daa-Scob SHIN Seong-Kyu HONG

SL, KANGNAM P.O. BOX 1711, SEOUL, 135-617, KOREA

13th Floor, Won Bldg., 648-26, Yeoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu Seoul, 135-080, KOREA

Telephone : 82 2 2051 1032

Facsimile : 82 2 555 1743 E-mail: yulipatent@cholllan.net

www.yullpat.co.kr June 10, 2009 URGENT

Mr. W. William Park LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 South Michigan Avenue Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60604 U. S. Ă.

Re:

Hynix Semiconductor Inc. U.S. Serial No. 10/701,326 Applicants: Checl Ho JOH et ai. Your Ref: CU-3430 Our Ref: HD-1609/US

CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF COPY

Dear Sirs:

Thank you for letting us know the USPTO's decision dismissing the petition filed November 19, 2008. With regard to the reply to the USPTO's requests for reasons, the detailed explanation is as follows:

An office action dated December 23, 2005 sent from your office Ladas & Parry LLP was mishandled by one employee in charge of the case at the tine such that we were not aware of this problem of the case being abandoned due to failure to respond to an office action until October of 2008, at which time we sent a letter to

The employee who is now terminated has mismanaged the present file by failure to properly enter the present case into the docketing system, and this problem has gone unnoticed until late 2008.

YUIL INTERNATIONAL PATENT & LAW FIRM CHICAGO

Sung-Bae KANG Seong-Gab HONG Dae-Seob SHIN Seong-Kyu HONG

SL, KANGNAM P.O. BOX 1711, SEOUL, 135-617, KOREA 2800 CCT 27 AM 9: 56

13th Floor, Won Bidg., 648-26, Yeoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu Seoul, 135-080, KOREA

Telephone: 82 2 2051 1032
Facsimile: 82 2 555 1743
E-mail: yuilpatent@cholijan.net

www.yuilpat.co.kr October 16, 2008

Mr. W. William Park LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 South Michigan Avenue Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60604 U. S. A.

Re:

Hynix Semiconductor Inc. U.S. Serial No. 10/701,326 Applicants: Cheol Ho JOH et al. Your Ref: CU-3430

Your Ref: CU-3430 Our Ref: HD-1609/US

Dear Sirs:

With reference to the above-indicated patent application, we recently discovered that the present case has been allowed to be abandoned contrary to our intentions. Please proceed with revival of the present application as soon as possible.

Sincerely yours,

11. 5.5

Dae-Seob SHIN
Patent Prosecution Manager
DSS/